

**Original citation:**

Darby, J., Williamson, T., Logan, P., & Gladman, J. (2017). Comprehensive geriatric assessment on an acute medical unit: a qualitative study of older people's and informal carer's perspectives of the care and treatment received. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 31(1), 126–134. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215515624134>

**Permanent WRaP URL:**

<https://eprints.worc.ac.uk/8432/>

**Copyright and reuse:**

The Worcester Research and Publications (WRaP) makes this work available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRaP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

**Publisher's statement:**

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published online by SAGE Publications in *Clinical Rehabilitation* on the 22 January 2016, available online: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0269215515624134>. © The Author(s) 2016 Reprints and permissions: <https://uk.sagepub.com/en-gb/eur/rights-and-permissions>

**A note on versions:**

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRaP URL' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

**For more information, please contact [wrapteam@worc.ac.uk](mailto:wrapteam@worc.ac.uk)**

1  
2  
3 **Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment on an acute medical unit: A qualitative**  
4 **study of older people's and informal carer's perspectives of the care and**  
5 **treatment received**  
6

7 **Abstract**  
8

9  
10 Objective: This qualitative study was imbedded in a randomised controlled trial  
11  
12 evaluating the addition of geriatricians to usual care to enable the comprehensive  
13  
14 geriatric assessment process with older patients on acute medical units. The  
15  
16 qualitative study explored the perspectives of intervention participants on their care  
17  
18 and treatment.  
19

20  
21  
22 **Design:** A constructivist study incorporating semi-structured interviews which were  
23  
24 conducted in patients' homes within six weeks of discharge from the acute medical  
25  
26 unit. These interviews were recorded, transcribed, and analysed using thematic  
27  
28 analysis.  
29

30  
31  
32 **Setting:** An acute medical unit in the United Kingdom.  
33

34  
35 **Participants:** Older patients (n=18) and their informal carers (n=6) discharged  
36  
37 directly home from an acute medical unit, who had been in the intervention group of  
38  
39 the randomised controlled trial.  
40

41  
42 **Results:** Three core themes were constructed: 1) perceived lack of treatment on the  
43  
44 acute medical unit; 2) nebulous grasp of the role of the geriatrician; and 3) on-going  
45  
46 health and activities of daily living (ADLs) needs post discharge. These needs  
47  
48 impacted upon the informal carers, who either took over, or helped the patients to  
49  
50 complete their ADLs. Despite the help received with ADLs, a lot of the patients  
51  
52 voiced a desire to complete these activities themselves.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 **Conclusions:** The participants perceived they were just monitored and observed on  
4  
5 the acute medical unit, rather than receiving active treatment, and spoke of on-going  
6  
7 unresolved health and activity of daily living needs following discharge, despite  
8  
9 receiving the additional intervention of a geriatrician.  
10

### 11 **Keywords**

12  
13 Acute medical unit, comprehensive geriatric assessment, rehabilitation, qualitative  
14  
15 study  
16

### 17 **Introduction**

18  
19 Acute medical units in UK hospitals receive patients presenting with an acute illness  
20  
21 from either the emergency department or directly from general practitioners. Patients  
22  
23 on these units are assessed and treated over a short designated period (typically  
24  
25 under 72 hours), and are then either discharged directly home or transferred to a  
26  
27 specialist ward [1]. A survey in England, Wales and Northern Ireland revealed that as  
28  
29 many as 98% of hospitals have an acute medical unit [2], and their use is becoming  
30  
31 increasingly widespread in Australia and New Zealand [3].  
32  
33

34  
35 To date, research conducted on acute medical units has been predominantly  
36  
37 quantitative in nature, and has revealed positive outcomes, including reduced waiting  
38  
39 times for hospital beds [1, 4], reduced length of hospital stay [1, 4, 5], increased  
40  
41 direct discharge rates [1, 5] and reduced mortality rates [1]. However one concern is  
42  
43 that at least half of older patients discharged home from acute medical units are  
44  
45 readmitted in the near future [6, 7].  
46  
47

48  
49 One model of care found to be effective in reducing readmission rates for older  
50  
51 patients is comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA) [8, 9]. This is a process in  
52  
53 which a comprehensive assessment of health domains specific to the problems  
54  
55 facing older people is used to derive a multidimensional care plan, which is  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 methodically implemented but a systematic review evaluating the comprehensive  
4  
5 geriatric assessment found no trials on acute medical units [10].  
6  
7

8  
9 Subsequent to the above review, a randomised controlled trial was conducted to  
10  
11 evaluate the delivery of the comprehensive geriatric assessment process on acute  
12  
13 medical units. In this study five geriatricians provided the comprehensive geriatric  
14  
15 assessment in patients due to be discharged in addition to the treatment routinely  
16  
17 provided by the units' consultant physicians and medical team. Plus they usually  
18  
19 visited them at home shortly after discharge from hospital. The geriatricians liaised  
20  
21 with hospital and community health professionals with the aim of enabling the  
22  
23 comprehensive geriatric assessment process to be delivered across the interface  
24  
25 between the acute medical unit and the community. However the trial showed no  
26  
27 benefits in terms of resource use or health outcomes for this intervention [7].  
28  
29

30  
31 We conducted a qualitative study as part of the above randomised controlled trial,  
32  
33 with the purpose of gaining an in-depth understanding of the older patient and  
34  
35 informal carer experience of an acute medical unit stay and their experience of  
36  
37 receiving the additional intervention from geriatricians. Ultimately the study sought to  
38  
39 provide explanations behind the trial outcomes, and to guide further development of  
40  
41 interventions for this group of patients. It is this qualitative study that is reported on  
42  
43 here.  
44  
45

## 46 47 **Method**

48  
49 The study was guided by a constructivist epistemology. A belief that realities exist in  
50  
51 the form of multiple mental constructions. The aim of constructivism is to draw  
52  
53 together the variety of constructions that exist and to search for consensus amongst  
54  
55 these constructions. The way to access these constructions is through subjective  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 interaction [11]. This epistemology was therefore considered the most appropriate to  
4  
5 guide the design of the study. To ensure a range of constructions were represented  
6  
7 a strategy of maximum variation sampling was adopted (see below).  
8  
9

#### 10 Sample selection

11  
12  
13 Participants were recruited from one of the two randomised controlled sites. The  
14  
15 criteria for participating in the trial have been described in detail elsewhere [7, 12].  
16  
17 Briefly, participants were aged 70 or over and identified at being at risk of future  
18  
19 health problems, using the Identification of Seniors at Risk (ISAR) screening tool  
20  
21 (predictive tool of high acute care hospital utilization and adverse health outcomes)  
22  
23 [13] and had a short stay of up to 72 hours in the acute medical unit.  
24  
25  
26

27  
28 Participants assessed by the trial research assistants as having cognitive  
29  
30 impairment, which meant they would not be able to be interviewed, were excluded  
31  
32 from the qualitative study.  
33  
34

35  
36 All participants who received the geriatrician intervention in the randomised  
37  
38 controlled trial were asked if they would be interested in taking part in an interview  
39  
40 about their experience of the care on and associated with the acute medical unit. A  
41  
42 purposive sample of patients, and their informal carers (where present), were  
43  
44 selected by the lead author (JD) from those participants that expressed an interest.  
45  
46 Informal carers were defined as family, neighbours and friends who provide care and  
47  
48 support on a regular basis as opposed to employed care workers. A strategy of  
49  
50 maximum variation sampling was adopted to ensure the selection of a range of  
51  
52 participants who had different characteristics [14], such as different ages, and a  
53  
54 range of Barthel (level of independence/dependence performing activities of daily  
55  
56 living) and ISAR scores.  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Data collection

The selected participants were contacted by telephone by the lead author and provided with information about the interviews. At this point, those with a carer in the trial, were also asked if their carer might be interested in taking part in an interview. Those participants expressing an interest were sent a study information sheet (and carer information sheet where applicable). Individual or paired (patient and informal carer) interviews were conducted by the lead author in the patient participant homes. The lead author is an occupational therapist by background but has never practiced in acute medical care, and did not work on the AMU. Written consent to take part in the study was given by participants on the day of the interview.

An interview guide (see Appendix), developed from the relevant literature and informed by concerns of the randomised controlled trial team [15] was used, covering participant perceptions of the acute medical unit stay, the intervention by a geriatrician, discharge arrangements, resettlement at home, any on-going problems with health, and any impact of their illness on everyday activities. Data on participant characteristics and functional status measured by the Barthel Index [16] were taken from the trial data base. All the interviews were audio recorded and transcribed verbatim.

## Data analysis

Data were analysed by the lead author using thematic analysis, a method which identifies patterns and themes across interviews. The lead author was trained in this method of analysis, and it is compatible with a constructivist epistemology [17]. The data was analysed using a manual method to enable the author to remain close to the data [18]. Six phases of analysis were used to guide the process [17]. These

1  
2  
3 involved a systematic process of coding data, collating these codes into potential  
4  
5 themes, reviewing the themes, and finally refining and naming the definitive themes.  
6  
7 Recruitment of participants continued until saturation of data occurred and no new  
8  
9 themes arose. Trustworthiness was enhanced by the use of reflexivity and peer  
10  
11 debriefing with the second author (TW). This author is a nurse by background with  
12  
13 different assumptions and personal interests to the lead author.  
14  
15

## 16 17 **Results**

18  
19  
20 One hundred and thirty six patient participants were recruited to the intervention  
21  
22 group. Forty of these participants were purposively selected to take part in an  
23  
24 interview, 22 (55%) accepted the invitation to be interviewed. However two  
25  
26 participants were readmitted before the interview could take place, and two  
27  
28 participants could not be interviewed within six weeks of discharge, leaving a total of  
29  
30 18 patient participants for interview. The participants had a mean age of 82 years, 10  
31  
32 were women and all were of white ethnicity. Participants had a Barthel score ranging  
33  
34 from 3-20 (mean 17) (Table 1 shows patient participant characteristics).  
35  
36

37  
38  
39 Of the 18 patient participants, eight identified that they had an informal carer, and  
40  
41 these were invited for interview. This achieved a final sample of six carer  
42  
43 participants. The carers that declined to take part stated that they did not provide any  
44  
45 direct care for the participant. This was in direct contrast to the carers interviewed  
46  
47 who stated that they provided care on a daily basis for the participant. There was an  
48  
49 even mix of demographic factors amongst the informal carer participant sample  
50  
51 (Table 2).  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 All the patient participants requested that their informal carers were interviewed  
4  
5 alongside themselves, so a total of 18 interviews were completed. These ranged in  
6  
7 length from 15 minutes to 100 minutes, with an average length of 38 minutes.  
8  
9

## 10 Themes

11  
12 Three substantive themes resulted from the coding process: perceived lack of  
13  
14 treatment on the acute medical unit; nebulous grasp of the role of the geriatrician;  
15  
16 and perception of on-going needs post discharge. Each is discussed below. All  
17  
18 names used throughout the paper are pseudonyms.  
19  
20

### 21 1) Perceived lack of treatment on the acute medical unit

22  
23 Patient and carer participants spoke about a lack of treatment on the acute medical  
24  
25 unit. Participants perceived that they were just monitored and observed during their  
26  
27 acute medical unit stay with no active treatment. They spoke about being checked  
28  
29 on regularly, and being 'kept an eye on', rather than being actually treated. One  
30  
31 participant, Albert, who was admitted with chest pain, stated the following when  
32  
33 asked specifically about his treatment:  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39  
40 *"Well, nothing really. Just monitoring. Just had observations every hour or*  
41  
42 *so, blood pressure, being diabetic they come and took my erm sugar level*  
43  
44 *every now and again, examined me two or three times, but, never had any*  
45  
46 *medication other than my tablets which I took in with me"* (Patient  
47  
48 participant, age 78).  
49  
50

51  
52 Albert spoke about the acute medical unit staff observing him, but did not consider  
53  
54 this to be formal monitoring as part of his treatment. He associated treatment with  
55  
56 medication, specifically tablets. Similarly, Keith, one of the carer participants,  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 perceived that the emphasis on the acute medical unit was upon observation rather  
4  
5 than treatment. His mother was admitted as a result of vomiting. He stated:  
6  
7

8 *"I don't think its [acute medical unit admission] had a positive or*  
9 *detrimental effect on her. Because all they did, took her in there for obs,*  
10 *and that's it. They just saw how she was, yer she's ok, she's stable, send*  
11 *her home. No extra or different treatments like. That's it"* (Carer  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 participant, son).  
18

19  
20 Keith stated that no new diagnosis had been provided, and that his mother had  
21  
22 returned home with no change to her condition. He perceived that nothing new had  
23  
24 been done for his mother during her acute medical unit stay.  
25  
26

27  
28 Patients and carers perceived treatment as such things as medication, oxygen,  
29  
30 intravenous drips, and injections.  
31

32  
33 Likewise most of the participants did not perceive they were treated by the  
34  
35 geriatrician, as outlined in the theme below.  
36  
37

## 38 2) Nebulous grasp of the role of the geriatrician

39

40  
41 Most of the patient and carer participants could recall seeing the geriatrician. The  
42  
43 participants were keen to point out how pleasant they found him/her. They talked  
44  
45 about the geriatrician spending time with them, talking to them, examining them and  
46  
47 asking questions. Participants reported favourably about the geriatrician saying that  
48  
49 he/she was very good, pleasant, or indeed charming. However the majority of  
50  
51 participants had difficulty articulating what the geriatrician actually did for them.  
52  
53

54 Edna, who was admitted onto the acute medical unit following a fall, provides an  
55  
56 example:  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *"I don't know what he's [geriatrician] done really. Just to talk to me that's*  
4 *all, yer he was quite nice really, he come, and the nurse said it's very rare*  
5 *that he ever visits patients outside"* (Patient participant, age 89).  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 When asked to expand on her comment Edna added:

11  
12  
13 *"Oh he only, he sat there [indicating sofa] just talked to me that's all.*  
14 *Asked me what, how I was and was I going on alright and that kind of*  
15 *thing. You know. He was quite nice actually. Nice person".*  
16  
17  
18  
19

20  
21 Like many of the participants Edna was vague about the actual geriatrician  
22 intervention. Only two of the patient participants could verbalise details about the  
23 geriatrician intervention. This is not to say that the geriatrician did nothing, but rather  
24 that participants were unaware of the details of their intervention. This can result in  
25 participants perceiving that nothing has been done to resolve their reason for  
26 admission, and this concern is reflected in the theme below.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

### 35 3) On-going needs

36  
37  
38 This theme described how the patient participants perceived their health and  
39 activities of daily living following discharge from the acute medical unit.  
40  
41  
42

#### 43 On-going health needs

44  
45  
46 The patient participants perceived they had on-going health problems despite their  
47 recent hospital admission and treatment by the geriatricians. They expressed  
48 concerns about on-going symptoms which had been directly attributed to the cause  
49 of their acute medical unit admission and they had unanswered questions about their  
50 health. Norman, who was admitted onto the acute medical unit with severe back  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 pain, explained how this pain remained throughout his admission and continued post  
4  
5 discharge:  
6  
7

8 *“Well I was more or less stationary, I mean I couldn’t move, with me back,*  
9  
10 *I know I keep on about me back but I couldn’t move... I was, was, I*  
11 *couldn’t even go to the toilet”* (Patient participant, age 76).  
12  
13  
14

15 Norman raised concern about his unresolved symptoms on ten separate occasions  
16 during the course of his interview. He had been admitted into hospital for the same  
17 symptoms only months before, and spoke of his concern that he had been  
18 discharged prematurely from the acute medical unit. He left the unit with the very  
19 symptoms that took him into hospital, and because his symptoms persisted he called  
20 out both his general practitioner and the out of hour’s emergency service.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 Some of the carer participants similarly reported no change in the health of the  
30 patient participant as a result of the acute medical unit stay. One of the carers, Jane,  
31 stated that her mother had been ‘very up and down’ since discharge from the acute  
32 medical unit, and perceived her mother’s health had deteriorated since the stay on  
33 the unit.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40  
41  
42 On-going activity of daily living needs  
43  
44

45 The patient participants also spoke about experiencing problems with their activities  
46 of daily living. An example is provided by Beryl, who was admitted onto the acute  
47 medical unit with chest pains, which followed on from an earlier heart attack. Beryl  
48 spoke about how her recent poor health had affected her confidence to go out  
49 shopping:  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *"I think it's just a bit scary when you er you know you wonder, erm when*  
4 *you go out you know am I going to be alright? And I can't, I can't walk like*  
5 *I used to, I soon get tired walking, and erm, I mean like if I go into town,*  
6 *going to Marks and Spencer's, well I'm probably alright going down there,*  
7 *but coming back up, you know, erm I have to come up, erm [name of*  
8 *street] now, catch the bus, and it's, oh it's such an effort to get back up*  
9 *there again"* (Patient participant, age 80).  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 The carer participants also spoke about the difficulties that patient participants were  
20 experiencing with their activities of daily living. Yet despite these difficulties, few  
21 participants were referred for an occupational therapy or physiotherapy assessment,  
22 and none were referred for rehabilitation. These claims were verified by examination  
23 of the geriatrician documentation.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

### 30 Impact of on-going needs on carers 31 32 33

34 The difficulties that the patient participants experienced completing their activities of  
35 daily living (ADL) impacted on their informal carers. The patient participants spoke of  
36 carers either taking over, or helping them to complete their ADLs. David, who was  
37 experiencing a lack of energy and shortness of breath, spoke about how his health  
38 problems were impacting on his elderly wife:  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 *"... But it's hard work for my good lady there. It makes it hard work for her,*  
47 *it wears her out a bit, but it is, it is hard work. But she's struggling, she's*  
48 *getting by aren't you"* (Patient participant, age 80).  
49  
50  
51  
52

53 David later went onto describe how his 77 year old wife was physically helping him to  
54 climb into and out of the bath due to his fear of falling. One of the carer participants,  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Diane, whose mother was admitted to the acute medical unit with heart concerns,  
4 also provided an example of how difficulty completing activities of daily living had  
5 ultimately impacted on the informal carers:  
6  
7  
8

9  
10 *“It’s getting quite tiring for us. We’ve got to be honest, erm you know we*  
11 *would rather be coming and taking mum out somewhere, whereas it can*  
12 *get tiring when you get here and realise that she needs some shopping*  
13 *doing or you know the bed needs changing, that sort of thing”* (Carer  
14 participant, daughter).  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

### 22 Desire for independence

23

24  
25 Although the term rehabilitation was not specifically mentioned, the participants did  
26 express a desire to be independent with their activities of daily living, rather than  
27 being dependent on their carers. Barry, who was admitted onto the acute medical  
28 unit with chest pain, expressed a strong desire to maintain his independence:  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34  
35 *“I like to do most things for myself. I just have a cleaner to come and clean*  
36 *up once a week. And for me shopping and that I like to do it myself”*  
37  
38  
39 (Patient participant, age 77).  
40  
41

42  
43 As part of the geriatrician intervention, Barry’s family was contacted, and they  
44 requested home care support. However this service was declined by the participant,  
45 who preferred to maintain his independence. He stated:  
46  
47  
48

49  
50 *“Well er I’ve been fine [since returning home]. And I’ve still keep going if*  
51 *I’ve got to drop dead [laughs]”.*  
52  
53  
54

55  
56 The patient participants perceived that completing activities of daily living provided a  
57 role and purpose in life, met their values, took their mind of anxieties, made them  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 feel better, and provided a range of emotional responses such as enjoyment and  
4  
5 pleasure.  
6  
7

## 8 **Discussion**

9

10  
11 Older higher risk patients admitted to and discharged from an acute medical unit  
12 perceived that they were largely monitored and observed during their hospital stay,  
13 which did not meet with their view of what constituted treatment. This was equated  
14 with the provision of medication, oxygen, intravenous drips or injections. Patients felt  
15 that the reasons they originally presented at the acute medical unit were not simply  
16 an expected extension of an existing condition, but a treatable exacerbation of an  
17 existing condition or a new health need warranting investigation and treatment. They  
18 expressed that these needs were not fully addressed through observation and  
19 monitoring. The participants perceived that they were discharged home with on-  
20 going health and needs related to the performance of activities of daily living that,  
21 should have been resolved and were not, despite the additional input from a  
22 geriatrician. Although the term rehabilitation was not explicitly stated the participants  
23 spoke of a desire to regain their independence.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40  
41 A strength of this study was that the interviews and analyses were conducted  
42 independently of the trialists in the randomised controlled trial and the staff providing  
43 the clinical interventions, enabling a separate and objective way to consider the  
44 effect of the clinical care and trial intervention. A limitation is that it was conducted in  
45 one centre (although there were five geriatricians who provided the trial intervention).  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 important variation between the study reported here and the randomised controlled  
4 trial relates to participants with cognitive impairment. These patients may benefit  
5 most from the intervention, and were included in the randomised controlled trial.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 Their exclusion from the qualitative sample means that their views, and those of their  
11 carers, were not represented. Similarly, as all the interviews were conducted jointly  
12 with patients and carers, there may have been a reluctance on the part of both  
13 parties to be open about difficulties.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 In England, concern has been raised that early hospital discharges of older patients  
20 has resulted in growing readmission rates [20]. In a recent national inquiry, older  
21 patients themselves reported that they had been readmitted for the same problems  
22 for which they were discharged [21]. Patients on acute medical units typically  
23 experience a short hospital stay, and in keeping with the current study, previous  
24 studies conducted on acute medical units have found that patients often require  
25 subsequent medical care for the same problem after their discharge [3, 21, 22]. It  
26 has also been noted previously that patients experiencing a short length of stay are  
27 less likely to receive multidisciplinary input on discharge than patients experiencing a  
28 longer length of hospital stay [23], and that these patients should be targeted for  
29 formal rehabilitative services [24], such as intermediate care [25] - uptake of which,  
30 from emergency departments, remains low (6%) [26].  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 The participants in the current study also received intervention from a geriatrician in  
47 addition to the usual care provided on the acute medical unit. Despite this additional  
48 intervention, the findings of this study are consistent with those of the randomised  
49 controlled study [7] which also showed that the geriatrician had little impact on the  
50 participant perspective of their overall health and functional status. One explanation  
51 is that the geriatricians either did not adequately assess the health and rehabilitation  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 needs, or were unable to facilitate services to respond to the needs. This may have  
4  
5 been because they were working in addition to the routine service and not part of the  
6  
7 integrated multidisciplinary team. In studies that demonstrated the effectiveness of  
8  
9 the comprehensive geriatric assessment process in patients in acute care [8, 9, 10,  
10  
11 11, 28, 29, 30], geriatricians were part of a multidisciplinary team. One study, like the  
12  
13 current study, found that when geriatrician intervention was provided without a  
14  
15 multidisciplinary team, it was not effective [27].  
16  
17

18  
19 The finding that acute illness leads to increased dependency in activities of daily  
20  
21 living, that are mainly met by an informal carer accords with other studies [30- 37],  
22  
23 and such increased dependency is often pertinent to the decision for older patients  
24  
25 to return to hospital [3].  
26  
27

28  
29 The implications of this study are that although acute medical units may be  
30  
31 successful in identifying medical emergencies in need of immediate intervention, for  
32  
33 many older people they do not adequately identify or effectively respond to on-going  
34  
35 or increased dependency in patient's activities of daily living, which may lead to  
36  
37 increased demands upon informal carers and increased likelihood of re-presentation  
38  
39 to hospital. The provision of additional input from a geriatrician alone, was insufficient  
40  
41 to address these needs. The on-going needs in patients discharged from acute  
42  
43 medical units require an intervention that is capable of identifying them, and  
44  
45 responding to them in the community. Further research should consider the  
46  
47 development of an integrated team linking comprehensive assessment in the acute  
48  
49 medical unit to community services such as intermediate care.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



## Clinical messages

- Older people had perceived on-going unresolved health and daily living needs after discharge from an acute medical unit despite having additional geriatrician input.
- Informal carers assisted patients with their new and unresolved daily living needs, but patients wished to regain their independence with these activities.

## Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Funding support

This paper presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) under its Programme Grants for Applied Research programme (Grant Reference Number RP-PG-0407-10147). The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

## References

- [1] Scott, I. Vaughan, L. Bell, D. Effectiveness of acute medical units in hospitals: a systematic review. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2009; **21**: 6, 397-407.
- [2] Percival, F. Day, N. Lambourne, A. Derek, B. Ward, D. An evaluation of consultant input into acute medical admissions management in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. London. Royal College of Physicians, 2010 contract No: 3.9 FINAL.

1  
2  
3 [3] Slatyer, S. Toye, C. Popescu, A. Young, J. Matthews, A. Hill, A. Williamson, D.J.

4  
5 Early re-presentation to hospital after discharge from an acute medical unit:

6  
7 perspectives of older patients, their family caregivers and health professionals.

8  
9  
10 Journal of Clinical Nursing. 2013; **22**: 445-455.

11  
12 [4] Moloney, E.D. Smith, D. Bennett, K. O'Riordan, D. Silke, B. Impact of an acute

13  
14 medical admission unit on length of hospital stay, and emergency department 'wait

15  
16 times'. Q J Med. 2005; **98**: 283-289.

17  
18  
19 [5] ST Noble, V.J. Davies, G. Bell, D. Improving continuity of care in an acute

20  
21 medical unit: initial outcomes. Q J Med. 2008; **101**: 529-533.

22  
23  
24 [6] Woodard, J. Gladman, J.R.F. Conroy, S.P. Frail older people at the interface. Age

25  
26 & Ageing. 2010; **39** (S1): i36.

27  
28  
29 [7] Edmans, J. Bradshaw, L. Franklin, M. Gladman, J. Conroy, S. Specialist geriatric

30  
31 medical assessment for patients discharged from hospital acute assessment units.

32  
33  
34 BMJ. 2013; **347**: F5874.

35  
36  
37 [8] Caplan, G.A. Williams, A.J. Daly, B. Abraham, K. A randomised, controlled trial of

38  
39 comprehensive geriatric assessment and multidisciplinary intervention after

40  
41 discharge of elderly from the emergency department- the DEED 11 study. JAGS.

42  
43  
44 2004; **52**: 1417-1423.

45  
46  
47 [9] Ellis, G. Whitehead, M.A. Robinson, D. O'Neill, D. Langhorne, P. Comprehensive

48  
49 geriatric assessment for older adults admitted to hospital: meta-analysis of

50  
51 randomised controlled trials. BMJ. 2011; **343**: d6553.

52  
53  
54 [10] Conroy, S.P. Stevens, T. Parker, S.G. Gladman, J.R.F. A systematic review of

55  
56 comprehensive geriatric assessment to improve outcomes for frail older people

1  
2  
3 being rapidly discharged from acute hospital: 'interface geriatrics'. Age and Ageing.  
4  
5 2011; **40**: 436-443.  
6  
7

8 [11] Guba, E.G. The paradigm dialog. London. Sage Publications. 1990.  
9

10 [12] Edmans, J. Conroy, S. Harwood, R, Lewis, S. Elliott, R.A. Logan, P. Bradshaw,  
11  
12 L. Franklin, M. Gladman, J. Acute medical unit comprehensive geriatric assessment  
13  
14 intervention study (AMIGOS): study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. Trials;  
15  
16 2011; 12:200.  
17  
18

19 [13] McCusker, J. Bellavance, F. Cardin, S. Trepanier, S. Verdon, J. Ardman, O.  
20  
21 Detection of older people at increased risk of adverse health outcomes after an  
22  
23 emergency visit: the ISAR screening tool. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society.  
24  
25 1999; **47**: 1229-1237. .  
26  
27

28 [14] Creswell, J.W. Plano Clark, V.L. Designing and conducting mixed methods  
29  
30 research. London. Sage Publications. 2007.  
31  
32

33 [15] Gladman, J.R.F. Kearney, F. Ali, A. Blundell, A. Wong, R. Laithwaite, E. Shah,  
34  
35 N. Conroy, S. The role of the interface geriatrician across the acute medical  
36  
37 unit/community interface. Medical Crisis in Older People discussion paper series.  
38  
39 Issue 9, February 2012. ISSN 2044-4230. Available at:  
40  
41  
42

43 [http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/mcop/documents/papers/issue9-mcop-issn2044-](http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/mcop/documents/papers/issue9-mcop-issn2044-4230.pdf)  
44  
45 [4230.pdf](http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/mcop/documents/papers/issue9-mcop-issn2044-4230.pdf)  
46  
47

48  
49 Assessed on: 08/08/2015.  
50

51 [16] Mahoney, F. Barthel, D. Functional evaluation: the Barthel Index. Maryland  
52  
53 State Medical Journal. 1965; **12**: 61-5.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 [17] Braun, V. & Clarke, V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative  
4  
5 Research in Psychology. 2006; **3**: 77-101.  
6  
7
- 8 [18] Webb, C. Analysing qualitative data: computerized and other approaches.  
9  
10 Journal of Advanced Nursing. 1999; **29**: 323-330.  
11  
12
- 13 [19] The Press Association. Growing readmission rate sparks concern around early  
14  
15 discharge. Nursing Times. 2013. Available at: [http://www.nursingtimes.net/nursing-  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60](http://www.nursingtimes.net/nursing-practice/specialisms/older-people/growing-readmission-rate-sparks-concern-around-early-discharge/5054823.article) Assessed on: 11/08/2015.
- [20] Healthwatch England. Safely home: What happens when people leave hospital  
and care settings? 2015. Available at: [www.healthwatch.co.uk](http://www.healthwatch.co.uk)  
Assessed on: 08/08/2015.
- [21] Munshi, S. K. Lakhani, D. Ageed, A. Evans, S.N. Mackness, E. Fancourt, G.  
Readmissions of older people to acute medical units. Nursing Older People. 2002;  
**14**: 1, 14-16.
- [22] Arendts, G. MacKenzie, J. Lee, J.K. Discharge planning and patient satisfaction  
in an emergency short stay unit. Emergency Medicine Australasia. 2006; **18**: 7-14.
- [23] Mamon, J. Steinwachs, D.M. Fahey, M. Bone, L.R. Oktay, J. Klein, L. Impact of  
hospital discharge planning on meeting patient needs after returning home. Health  
Services Research. 1992; **27**: 2, 155-175.
- [24] Boyd, C.M. Landefeld, C.S. Counsell, S.R. Palmer, R.M. Fortinsky, R.H.  
Kreševic, D. Burant, C. Covinsky, K.E. Recovery of activities of daily living in older  
adults after hospitalization for acute medical illness. JAGS. 2008; **56**: 2171-2179.

1  
2  
3 [25] Stevenson, J. Spencer, L. Developing intermediate care. A guide for health and  
4 social service professionals. London. Kings Fund. 2002. Available at:

5  
6  
7 [http://www.kingsfund.org.uk/.../Developing-intermediate-care-guide-health-social-  
9 services-professionals](http://www.kingsfund.org.uk/.../Developing-intermediate-care-guide-health-social-<br/>8 services-professionals)

10  
11  
12 Accessed on: 12/09/2014.

13  
14  
15 [26] British Geriatric Society. Intermediate care provision must double to meet  
16 demand, new audit reveals. Available at:

17  
18  
19 [www.bgs.org.uk/index.php/press-releases/3373/intermediate-care-must-double](http://www.bgs.org.uk/index.php/press-releases/3373/intermediate-care-must-double)

20  
21  
22 Accessed on: 31/12/2014.

23  
24  
25 [27] Nikolaus, T. Specht-Leible, N. Bach, M. Oster, P. Schlieff, G. A randomised trial  
26 of comprehensive geriatric assessment and home intervention in the care of  
27 hospitalised patients. Age and Ageing. 1999; **28**: 543-550.

28  
29  
30 [28] Baztán, J.J. Suárez-García, F.M. López-Arrieta, J. Rodríguez-Mañas, L.  
31 Rodríguez-Artalejo, F. Effectiveness of acute geriatric units on functional decline,  
32 living at home, and case fatality among older patients admitted to hospital for acute  
33 medical disorders: meta-analysis. BMJ. 2009; **338**: b50.

34  
35  
36 [29] Stott, D.J. Buttery, A.K. Bowman, A. Agnew, R. Burrow, K. Mitchell, S.L.  
37 Ramsay, S. Knight, P.V. Comprehensive geriatric assessment and home based  
38 rehabilitation for elderly people with a history of recurrent non-elective hospital  
39 admissions. Age and Ageing. 2006; **35**: 487-491.

40  
41  
42 [30] Gage, M. Valiant Cook, J. Fryday-Field, K. Understanding the transition to  
43 community living after discharge from an acute care hospital: an exploratory study.  
44 American Journal of Occupational Therapy. 1997; **51**: 96-103.

1  
2  
3 [31] Fitzgerald Miller, J. Piacentine, L.B. Weiss, M. Coping difficulties after  
4 hospitalisation. *Clinical Nursing Research*. 2008; **17**: 278-296.

5  
6  
7  
8 [32] McKeown, F. The experiences of older people on discharge from hospital  
9 following assessment by the public health nurse. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 2007;  
10  
11  
12 **16**: 469-476.

13  
14  
15 [33] Mistiaen, P. Duijnhouwer, E. Wijkkel, D. de Bont, M. Veeger, A. The problems of  
16 elderly people at home one week after discharge from an acute care setting. *Journal*  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23 of *Advanced Nursing*. 1997; **25**: 1233-1240.

24 [34] LeClerc, C.M. Wells, D.L. Craig, D. Wilson, J.L. Falling short of the mark: tales  
25 of life after hospital discharge. *Clinical Nursing Research*. 2002; **11**: 242-63.

26  
27  
28 [35] Procter, S. Wilcockson, J. Pearson, P. Allgar, V. Going home from hospital: the  
29 carer/patient dyad. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 2001; **35**, 206-217.

30  
31  
32  
33 [36] Clark, M. Steinberg, M. Bischoff, N. Patient readiness for return to home: discord  
34 between expectations and reality. *Australian Occupational Therapy Journal*. 1997;  
35  
36  
37  
38 **44**: 132-141.

39  
40  
41 [37] Coffey, A. McCarthy, G.M. Older people's perception of their readiness for  
42 discharge and post discharge use of community support and services. *International*  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
60  
*Journal of Older People Nursing*. 2013; **8**: 104-115.

### . **Ethical approval**

Ethical approval was obtained from Nottingham 1 Research Ethics Committee, and University of Salford College of Health and Social Care Ethics Committee.

Table 1: Patient Participant Sample

Name:	Age	Gender	Ethnicity	Residency status	Barthel score	ISAR score	Admission reason
Annie	78	F	W	Lives with partner	19	3	Collapse
Beryl	80	F	W	Lives alone	19	4	Chest pain
Albert	78	M	W	Lives with wife	16	3	Chest pain
Doris	81	F	W	Lives alone	20	2	Exhaustion
Barry	77	M	W	Lives alone	20	2	Chest pain
Edna	89	F	W	Lives alone	18	2	Dizziness/fall
Charles	74	M	W	Lives with wife	12	3	Swollen leg
David	80	M	W	Lives with wife	20	3	Diarrhoea
Ida	88	F	W	Lives alone	17	3	Fall
Jake	87	M	W	Lives with wife	17	3	Shortness of breath
Freda	81	F	W	Lives with son	3	5	Vomiting
Leonard	87	M	W	Lives with wife	20	2	Abdominal pain
Malcolm	89	M	W	Lives in care home	16	4	Fall
Norma	80	F	W	Lives alone	18	2	Chest pain
Grace	79	F	W	Lives with husband	18	3	Haematemesis
Norman	76	M	W	Lives alone	12	3	Back pain
Jean	83	F	W	Lives alone	18	5	Heart racing
Kath	88	F	W	Lives alone	20	4	Shortness of breath

All names are pseudonyms

Barthel score : 10 item screening tool with a maximum score of 20. The higher the score the less dependent the patient is with self care activities [16].

ISAR score : 6 item screening tool. Score 2+ predictive of high acute care hospitalisation [13].

Table 2: Informal Carer Participant Sample

Patient name	Relationship of informal carer	Lives with patient	Level of informal carer support	Home care assistance
Beryl	Daughter	No	Domestic tasks	No
Charles	Wife	Yes	Personal & domestic tasks	Yes
Jake	Wife	Yes	Personal & domestic tasks	No
Freda	Son	Yes	Domestic tasks	Yes
Jean	Daughter	No	Domestic tasks	Yes
Kath	Daughter	No	Personal & domestic tasks	No

All names are pseudonyms.



## Appendix

**Interview Guide****Before the admission**

Thinking back to the day you went into hospital, can you tell me what happened on that day, what led up to you going into hospital?

Prompts:

- Tell me what was it like coming into hospital?
- How did you end up being admitted to the ward?

**During the admission**

Please can you tell me about your stay on the ward?

Prompts:

- Have you got anything that stands out as particularly memorable during your stay on the ward?
- Tell me about the care you received?
- Tell me about the treatment you received?
- How happy were you with the care and treatment received?
- Did you have any expectations around your care and treatment? Were they met?

Can you recall being seen by the specialist doctor, for people aged over 70 years, on the day you left the ward? Tell me what happened?

Have you seen this doctor since returning home? Tell me about that?

**Discharge**

Please tell me about any arrangements that were made for you to go home?

Prompts:

- Can you tell me how you found out that you were going home?
- Looking back at the time of the discharge, what impression do you have of it?
- How could the discharge have been any better?

**Returning home**

Finally, can you talk through how things have been since you returned home?

Prompts:

- How have you have been managing on a day to day basis?
- Have you been able to do what you used to do?
- (if any difficulties mentioned by participant) -Tell me about that?

Do you think the care and treatment received from the hospital has made your life any easier, or is it the same or more difficult since returning home?

Can you suggest any improvements or better ways of doing things on the ward?

Thank you for your help. I really appreciate it. It will help the Trust to understand what people think.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review

1  
2  
3 **Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment on an acute medical unit: A qualitative**  
4 **study of older people's and informal carer's perspectives of the care and**  
5 **treatment received**  
6

7 **Abstract**  
8

9  
10 Objective: This qualitative study was imbedded in a randomised controlled trial  
11  
12 evaluating the addition of geriatricians to usual care to enable the comprehensive  
13  
14 geriatric assessment process with older patients on acute medical units. The  
15  
16 qualitative study explored the perspectives of intervention participants on their care  
17  
18 and treatment.  
19

20  
21  
22 **Design:** A constructivist study incorporating semi-structured interviews which were  
23  
24 conducted in patients' homes within six weeks of discharge from the acute medical  
25  
26 unit. These interviews were recorded, transcribed, and analysed using thematic  
27  
28 analysis.  
29

30  
31  
32 **Setting:** An acute medical unit in the United Kingdom.  
33

34  
35 **Participants:** Older patients (n=18) and their informal carers (n=6) discharged  
36  
37 directly home from an acute medical unit, who had been in the intervention group of  
38  
39 the randomised controlled trial.  
40

41  
42 **Results:** Three core themes were constructed: 1) perceived lack of treatment on the  
43  
44 acute medical unit; 2) nebulous grasp of the role of the geriatrician; and 3) on-going  
45  
46 health and activities of daily living (ADLs) needs post discharge. These needs  
47  
48 impacted upon the informal carers, who either took over, or helped the patients to  
49  
50 complete their ADLs. Despite the help received with ADLs, a lot of the patients  
51  
52 voiced a desire to complete these activities themselves.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 **Conclusions:** The participants perceived they were just monitored and observed on  
4  
5 the acute medical unit, rather than receiving active treatment, and spoke of on-going  
6  
7 unresolved health and activity of daily living needs following discharge, despite  
8  
9 receiving the additional intervention of a geriatrician.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review