

Table 1: Mean age, family status, educational level, AUDIT and ARAQ scores across IPA offender, general offender and male community groups

	IPA Offender (N= 25)	General Offender (N= 15)	Male community (n = 24)	All (n = 64)
Age (mean years)	37.7	29.9 *	33.7	33.7
Currently Single	11	4	9	24
Has Children	5	5	8	18
Educational Level:				
None	13	3	1	17
Standard Grades	8	9	1	18
Highers	1	1	6	8
Diploma	2	1	6	9
Degree	0	1	5	6
Higher degree	1	0	2	3
Football status:				
Any team	21	14	22	57
Auld firm	19	13	6	38
AUDIT mean score (0 – 40)	23.8 (12.3)*	25.0 (13.2)	9.00 (5.74)*	18.5 (12.8)
-Safe (0 – 7) (N = )	3	2	9	6 (mean)
-Hazardous (8 +)	7	3	15	8 (mean)
-Dependent (20 +)	15	10	0	8 (mean)
ARAQ-28 mean Scores (0 – 84)	33.2 (19.7)*	27.2 (17)	13.36 (16.2)*	24.7 (19.7)
Expectancies	25.4	22.3	10.6	19.4 (mean)
Trait Aggression	2.2	1.1	0.5	1.3 (mean)
Pain & Anxiety	3.0	2.1	1.1	2 (mean)
Drinking Context	2.6	1.7	1.1`	1.8 (mean)

Scores indicated with \* are significant at  $p < 0.01$

Table 2: Mean CTS2 subscale scores perpetrated by group: IPA offender, general offender, male community

Sub-scale	IPA Offender (n = 25) Mean (SD)	General offender (n = 15) Mean (SD)	Male Community (n= 24) Mean (SD)
CTS2: negotiation	106.7 (60)	110.7 (57.8)	34.5 (39.5)
CTS2: psychological	92.7 (52.9)*	74.3 (55.5)	5.8 (13.1)*
CTS2: physical	24.4 (28)	13.7 (20.7)	1.2 (4.1)
CTS2: injury	6 (9.3)	1.8 (3.2)	0.6 (1.8)*
CTS2: sexual	1.7 (6.2)*	1.7 (6.4)	1.8 (5.4)

Table 3: Comparison of present study with the CTS2 published norms for perpetration

Sub-scale	<i>College Student Sample norms Mean (SD)</i>	IPA Offender	General offenders	Community Male
CTS2 Negotiation	<b>61.6 (38.5)</b>	106.7 (60)	110.7 (57.8)	34.5 (39.5)
CTS2 Psychological Aggression	<b>15.1 (17.4)</b>	92.7 (52.9)	74.3 (55.5)	5.8 (13.1)
CTS2 Physical Assault	<b>12.9 (21.6)</b>	24.4 (28)	13.7 (20.7)	1.2 (4.1)
CTS2 Injury	<b>25.1 (37.8)</b>	6 (9.3)	1.8 (3.2)	0.6 (1.8)
CTS2 Sexual	<b>19.9 (31.4)</b>	1.7 (6.2)	1.7 (6.4)	1.8 (5.4)