

1 Short Title – *Ambrosia* pollen across Europe

2 **Spatial and temporal variations in airborne *Ambrosia* pollen in Europe**

3
4 Sikoparija, B.¹, Skjøth, C.A.^{2*}, Celenk, S.³, Testoni, C.⁴, Abramidze, T.⁵, Alm Kübler, K.⁶, Belmonte, J.⁷,
5 Berger, U.⁸, Bonini, M.⁴, Charalampopoulos, A.⁹, Damialis, A.^{9,10}, Clot, B.¹¹, Dahl, Å.¹², de Weger, L.
6 A.¹³, Gehrig, R.¹¹, Hendrickx, M.¹⁴, Hoebeker, L.¹⁴, Ianovici, N.¹⁵, Kofol Seliger, A.¹⁶, Magyar, D.¹⁷;
7 Mányoki, G.¹⁷; Milkovska, S.¹⁸; Myszkowska, D.¹⁹; Páldy, A.¹⁷; Pashley, C. H.²⁰, Rasmussen, K.²¹,
8 Ritenberga, O.²²; Rodinkova, V.²³; Rybníček, O.²⁴, Shalaboda, V.²⁵, Šaulienė, I.²⁶; Ščevková, J.²⁷,
9 Stjepanović, B.²⁸, Thibaudon, M.²⁹, Verstraeten, C.¹⁴, Vokou, D.⁹, Yankova, R.³⁰, Smith, M.³¹

10
11 ¹BioSense Institute - Research Institute for Information Technologies in Biosystems, University of
12 Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia; ²Institute of Science and the Environment, University of Worcester, UK;
13 ³Biology department, Science Faculty, Uludağ University, Bursa, Turkey; ⁴Local Health Authority,
14 Milano Città Metropolitana, Italy; ⁵Center of Allergy and Immunology, Tbilisi, Georgia; ⁶Swedish
15 Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, Sweden; ⁷Institute of Environmental Science and
16 Technology (ICTA), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain; ⁸University Department
17 of Ear, Nose and Throat Diseases, Medical University of Vienna, Austria; ⁹Department of Ecology,
18 School of Biology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece; ¹⁰Chair and Institute of
19 Environmental Medicine, UNIKA-T, Technical University of Munich and Helmholtz Zentrum
20 München, Germany - German Research Center for Environmental Health, Augsburg, Germany;
21 ¹¹Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology MeteoSwiss, Switzerland; ¹²Department of Plant
22 and Environmental Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Sweden; ¹³Department of Pulmonology,
23 Leiden University Medical Center, Netherlands; ¹⁴Belgian Aerobiology Network, Scientific institute
24 of Public Health, Belgium; ¹⁵Faculty of Chemistry-Biology-Geography, West University of Timisoara,
25 Timisoara, Romania; ¹⁶Institute of Public Health of the Republic of Slovenia, Slovenia; ¹⁷National

26 *Public Health Center, Budapest, Hungary;*¹⁸*Institute of Occupational Health - WHO Collaborating*
27 *Center, Skopje, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia;*¹⁹*Department of Clinical and*
28 *Environmental Allergology, Jagiellonian University, Medical College, Kraków, Poland;*²⁰*Institute for*
29 *Lung Health, University of Leicester, UK;*²¹*Astma-Allergy, Denmark, Roskilde;*²²*Faculty of*
30 *Geography and Earth Sciences, University of Latvia Riga, Riga, Latvia;*²³*Vinnitsa National Pirogov*
31 *Memorial Medical University, Vinnitsa, Ukraine;*²⁴*Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno,*
32 *Czech Republic;*²⁵ **V. F. Kuprevich** *Institute for Experimental Botany of the NAS of Belarus, Minsk,*
33 *Belarus;*²⁶*Department of Environmental Research, Siauliai University, Šiauliai, Lithuania;*²⁷*Faculty*
34 *of Natural Sciences, Comenius University Bratislava, Bratislava, Slovakia;*²⁸*Institute of Public*
35 *Health “Dr Andrija Štampar”, Zagreb, Croatia;*²⁹*Réseau National de Surveillance Aérobiologique*
36 *(R.N.S.A.), France;*³⁰*Clinical Center of Allergology, University Hospital Sofia, Bulgaria;*³¹*Faculty of*
37 *Biology, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland*

38

39

40 *Corresponding author: Corresponding author: Carsten Ambelas Skjøth, Postal address: National
41 Pollen and Aerobiology Unit, University of Worcester, Henwick Grove, Worcester WR2 6AJ, United
42 Kingdom. Email: c.skjoth@worc.ac.uk

43 **ABSTRACT**

44

45 **Aim:** The European Commission Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST) Action FA1203
46 “SMARTER” aims to make recommendations for the sustainable management of *Ambrosia* across
47 Europe and for monitoring its efficiency and cost effectiveness. The goal of the present study is to
48 provide a baseline for spatial and temporal variations in airborne *Ambrosia* pollen in Europe that
49 can be used for the management and evaluation of this noxious plant.

50 **Location:** The full range of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L. distribution over Europe (39°N-60°N; 2°W-
51 45°E).

52 **Methods:** Airborne *Ambrosia* pollen data for the principal flowering period of *Ambrosia* (August-
53 September) recorded during a 10-year period (2004-2013) were obtained from 242 monitoring
54 sites. The mean sum of daily average airborne *Ambrosia* pollen and the number of days that
55 *Ambrosia* pollen was recorded in the air were analysed. The mean and Standard Deviation (SD)
56 were calculated regardless of the number of years included in the study period, while trends are
57 based on those time series with 8 or more years of data. Trends were considered significant at $p <$
58 0.05.

59 **Results:** There were few significant trends in the magnitude and frequency of atmospheric
60 *Ambrosia* pollen (only 8% for the mean sum of daily average *Ambrosia* pollen concentrations and
61 14% for the mean number of days *Ambrosia* pollen was recorded in the air).

62 **Main conclusions:** The direction of any trends varied locally and reflect changes in sources of the
63 pollen, either in size or in distance from the monitoring station. Pollen monitoring is important for
64 providing an early warning of the expansion of this invasive and noxious plant.

65

66 **Keywords:** aerobiology, ragweed, invasive alien species, allergen, exposure

67

Comment [Office1]: Changed... the new sentence did not make sense (repetition of temporal and spatial)

68 **INTRODUCTION**

69

70 *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L. (common or short ragweed) has been considered to be an invasive and
71 alien plant by the European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization since 2004 (Brunel
72 et al. 2010). It is an important weed in agriculture and source of highly allergenic pollen. The plant
73 has now become naturalised in Europe and frequently forms part of the flora (Smith et al. 2013).
74 The prevalence of sensitisation to *Ambrosia* pollen allergens is increasing in Europe and reflects
75 the expansion of *Ambrosia* populations (Burbach et al. 2009b).

76 Aerobiological monitoring sites routinely collect and report levels of atmospheric pollen
77 across Europe. The samples are examined by light microscopy and the data can be used for a
78 variety of purposes, including being an early warning of the spread of invasive, wind-pollinated
79 (anemophilous) plants like *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*. The pollen grains of *A. artemisiifolia* are
80 morphologically similar to the other introduced species of *Ambrosia* in Europe, *A. trifida* L., *A.*
81 *tenuifolia* Spreng. and *A. psilostachya* DC. (= *A. coronopifolia* Torr. & Gray) as well as the native *A.*
82 *maritima* L. (Smith et al. (2013) and references therein). As a result, the pollen grains of *Ambrosia*
83 species are identified to genus level by monitoring stations.

84 The threat posed by *Ambrosia* has been identified and efforts to reduce the negative
85 impacts on the human population have started to be implemented at national and European levels
86 (Smith et al. 2013). The European Commission Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST)
87 Action FA1203 “SMARTER” (<http://ragweed.eu>) aims to make recommendations for the
88 sustainable management of *Ambrosia* across Europe and for monitoring its efficiency and cost
89 effectiveness. This study has been conducted within the frame of Working Group 4 of SMARTER,
90 with the goal of providing a baseline for spatial and temporal variations in airborne *Ambrosia*
91 pollen in Europe that can be used for the management and evaluation of this noxious plant.

Comment [Office2]: changed

92

93 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

94

95 *Collection of pollen data*

96

97 Pollen data were collected by airborne pollen monitoring networks across Europe by using
98 volumetric spore traps of the Hirst design (Hirst 1952), thereby ensuring the comparability of the
99 data, and samples were analysed using methods recommended in literature (Galán et al. 2014).

100 Daily average pollen concentrations are expressed as particles per cubic metre of air ($P\ m^{-3}$)
101 (Comtois 1998). The protocol for collating the pollen data was based on the methods described by
102 Thackeray et al. (2010) and Ziello et al. (2012) that were adjusted for the needs of this study.
103 Datasets were restricted to the period August-September, which is the principal flowering period
104 of *Ambrosia* (Bonini et al. 2015), and the years 2004 to 2013 only. Within this period, the analyses
105 examined the sum of daily average *Ambrosia* pollen concentrations and the number of days when
106 daily average concentrations exceeded $1\ P\ m^{-3}$. The study focuses on a 10-year period (2004-2013).
107 This is because it allows comparison between datasets, as not all sites have been monitoring
108 airborne pollen for long periods of time (i.e. > 10-years).

Comment [Office3]: moved from methods, see comment below.

109 Participants included individual sites as well as regional and national pollen monitoring
110 networks, encompassing a number of countries involved in the COST SMARTER network. *Ambrosia*
111 pollen data were unavailable for the study from several countries (Figs 1 and 2). For instance, due
112 to constraints in time and resources, a number of sites routinely cease monitoring in August or
113 September whilst *Ambrosia* plants are flowering. At other sites, such as in Portugal, *Ambrosia*
114 pollen is rarely found in the atmosphere and as such it is not considered to be of allergological
115 importance. As a result, *Ambrosia* pollen grains are only identified to family level (i.e. Asteraceae)
116 (E. Caeiro, personal communication). This also applies to parts of Spain, but Catalonia was included
117 because *Ambrosia* pollen is recorded in this region (Fernández-Llamazares et al. 2012). The

118 German Pollen Information Service (PID) did not participate in the current study, but data about
119 spatial variations in ragweed populations and the annual *Ambrosia* pollen index in Germany (2012-
120 2014) are available in the recent paper by Buters et al. (2015). It is also important to note that for
121 several spatially large countries (i.e. Romania, Turkey and Ukraine) pollen-monitoring networks
122 are not dispersed over the entire territory, and so the data included in this study are not
123 representative of the entire area of these vast countries.

124

125 *Data preprocessing and statistical analysis*

126

127 Datasets were examined for missing values and irregularities. Years that had more than 7 days
128 missing from the flowering period of *Ambrosia* were removed from the analysis because it was
129 deemed that this would have a noticeable effect on the results. The mean and Standard Deviation
130 (SD) were calculated regardless of the number of years included in the study period. On the other
131 hand, linear trends in the sum of pollen recorded annually and the number of days when *Ambrosia*
132 pollen grains were recorded during August and September each year were calculated for sites with
133 at least 80% records (≥ 8 years) in the study period and the following results presented: slope of
134 the simple linear regression over time, Standard Error of the regression slope (SE), probability level
135 (p) and coefficient of determination (R^2). Trends were considered significant with probability levels
136 < 0.05 .

137

138 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

139

140 A total of 1730 datasets (years of pollen data), from 242 locations were included in the analysis.
141 Trends were calculated for 143 locations (see Appendix S1 in Supporting Information). Trends
142 were only calculated for sites with ≥ 8 years of pollen data but mean values for the sum of daily

143 average *Ambrosia* pollen concentrations recorded annually and the number of days that *Ambrosia*
144 pollen was recorded in the air were included for all sites, regardless of the length of the dataset,
145 because it allowed valuable data to be included in the analysis. For example, aerobiological
146 monitoring in Georgia is still developing and only 2 years of data were available for this study.
147 However, habitat suitability analysis for the country predicts that *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L. has a
148 distribution of 24%, increasing to 40% over the next 50 years (Thalmann et al. 2015), which is
149 reflected in this study by the atmospheric pollen levels and number of days in August and
150 September that *Ambrosia* pollen is recorded (Figs 1A and 2A).

Comment [Office4]: Changed, some text moved to the methods following the comment by Thanos

151

152 *The sum of pollen recorded annually*

153

154 This study agrees with previous work showing that France, Northern Italy, the Pannonian Plain and
155 Ukraine (Fig. 1A) record some of the highest levels of airborne *Ambrosia* pollen in Europe (Skjøth
156 et al. 2013). Mean levels of atmospheric *Ambrosia* pollen tend to decrease away from these
157 centres, e.g. towards the Atlantic and Baltic coasts in the north and the Mediterranean in the
158 south, although elevated levels of atmospheric *Ambrosia* pollen were also recorded in the Black
159 Sea region in Turkey and Georgia. It has been hypothesised that airborne *Ambrosia* pollen in
160 Turkey originates from both local sources and long distance transport (Zemmer et al. 2012). The
161 plant had previously been reported to be well-established in Northeast Anatolia (Byfield and
162 Baytop 1998) and experts belonging to COST SMARTER recently confirmed the occurrence of
163 limited populations of *Ambrosia* in the vicinity of Samsun near to the Black Sea coast (B. Chauvel,
164 personal communication). It is also predicted that Georgia has notable local *Ambrosia* populations
165 (Thalmann et al. 2015).

166 Out of a total of 143 trends for the sum of atmospheric *Ambrosia* pollen calculated using 8
167 or more years of data, only 11 were significant (8%) and 7 of these were towards significant

168 decreases in the amount of airborne *Ambrosia* pollen. Several of these significant decreases were
169 calculated at sites already considered to be centres of *Ambrosia* infestation, i.e. Rhône-Alpes
170 region in France and Northern Italy. Such decreases may be the result of successful control
171 measures against *Ambrosia* in these areas or, in the case of Northern Italy, the accidental
172 introduction of the *Ophraella communa* leaf beetle that has coincided with a significant decrease
173 in atmospheric concentrations of *Ambrosia* pollen in the region (Bonini et al. 2015; Bonini et al.
174 2016). This confirms that factors determining the rate of spread of *Ambrosia* within its current
175 climatic niche (Hamaoui-Laguel et al. 2015) can affect pollen concentrations even without
176 changing the plant distribution.

177 Hamaoui-Laguel et al. (2015) predicted that atmospheric *Ambrosia* pollen concentrations
178 would increase up to a factor of two in current high pollen level areas like the Pannonian Plain but,
179 as yet, this has not been seen. Instead, this study has shown that significant increases in the
180 amount of airborne *Ambrosia* pollen tended to be in areas considered to be at the forefront of
181 *Ambrosia* expansion, such as Nevers in France and Salgótarján in Hungary, which are on the
182 periphery of the main centres of *Ambrosia* (Skjøth et al. 2010; Thibaudon et al. 2014; Karrer et al.
183 2015). Although significant increases were also witnessed at sites situated some distance away
184 from areas traditionally considered to be the heart of *Ambrosia* infestation, i.e. Lithuania. This
185 discrepancy between monitored pollen data and values expected by the models implies that
186 future distribution of invasive weeds, including their abundance, is affected both by climate
187 conditions and local anthropogenic influences. Therefore, assessment of *Ambrosia* biogeography
188 and rates of distribution change in the plant's non-native distribution range, would benefit from
189 integrating population dynamics and anthropogenic drivers, such as mechanisms for local and
190 long-distance seed dispersal (Chapman et al. 2016).

191

192 *The number of days when Ambrosia pollen was recorded*

193

194 The number of days when *Ambrosia* pollen grains were recorded during August and September
195 generally decreased with increased distance away from known centres of *Ambrosia* infestation
196 (Fig. 2 A). This probably reflects the intermittent nature of atmospheric transport episodes from
197 areas with notable sources of *Ambrosia* pollen to areas where the plant is less common, or not
198 found at all (Stach et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2008; Sikoparija et al. 2009; Kasprzyk et al. 2011;
199 Šikoparija et al. 2013; Sommer et al. 2015). The results show that *Ambrosia* pollen is also
200 frequently recorded in the Balkans, Greece, Turkey and Georgia. Some of these countries record
201 lower *Ambrosia* pollen levels (Fig. 1A), but the number of days that people are exposed to this
202 aeroallergen is high. Frequent exposure to low amounts of *Ambrosia* pollen allergens might
203 explain why the crude clinically relevant sensitization rate to *Ambrosia* pollen allergens presented
204 by Burbach et al. (2009a) for Greece (~5%), is similar to those recorded in Austria (Vienna), France
205 (Montpellier) and Poland (Lodz) that are closer to the *Ambrosia* heartlands.

206 The amount of significant trends in the number of days when *Ambrosia* pollen was
207 recorded was low (just 14%). As with the sum of airborne *Ambrosia* pollen recorded in August-
208 September, there is a tendency for sites removed from centres of *Ambrosia* distribution to exhibit
209 significant trends towards more days with *Ambrosia* pollen in the air (Fig. 2A). Where local sources
210 of *Ambrosia* plants are not present or only sporadically recorded (e.g. Lithuania (Sauliene et al.
211 2011)), these trends towards more frequent exposure to airborne *Ambrosia* pollen might reflect:
212 (i) increases in the magnitude of nearby sources, (ii) a decrease in the distance between the site
213 and the source caused by successful invasions (Leiblein-Wild et al. 2014) that would increase the
214 risk of pollen being transported to an area more frequently, or (iii) conditions becoming more
215 conducive for atmospheric transport (Hamaoui-Laguel et al. 2015).

216 Prior to conducting this study, it was expected that *Ambrosia* pollen levels would be
217 comparatively stable in areas considered to be centres of *Ambrosia* distribution where the plant

Comment [Office5]: Not changed, because the paper from Thessaloniki uses different sensitisation rates (not clinically relevant – see Burbach) and so not directly comparable

218 has been present for considerable lengths of time. If anything, it was anticipated that the amount
219 of airborne *Ambrosia* pollen or the frequency that *Ambrosia* pollen was recorded in the air might
220 decrease in these areas due to factors such as management. However, significant increases in the
221 number of days with *Ambrosia* pollen in the air were witnessed in Hungary on the Pannonian Plain
222 where the plant has been considered to be a problem weed since the 1960s (Smith et al. (2013)
223 and references therein), which suggests that episodes of airborne *Ambrosia* pollen are actually
224 increasing in frequency in some areas. This concurs with Hamaoui-Laguel et al. (2015) who
225 postulate that conditions will become more favourable for the release and atmospheric
226 accumulation of *Ambrosia* pollen from the plant. Nevertheless, it is possible that, for sites with
227 stable *Ambrosia* populations that record *Ambrosia* pollen almost every day during August and
228 September, missing days will have an impact on the results. For this reason, care was taken to
229 ensure that years with more than 7 missing values (e.g. due to trap failure) during the principal
230 flowering period of *Ambrosia* were not included in the analysis.

231 There are a number of other local differences in the number of days that *Ambrosia* pollen
232 has been recorded in different regions (Fig. 2B). For instance, *Ambrosia* plants are rarely recorded
233 in Lithuania to the Northeast of the study area (Sauliene et al. 2011). The number of *Ambrosia*
234 plants in Lithuania is not increasing, but an increase in atmospheric concentrations of *Ambrosia*
235 pollen has been noted and linked with the occurrence of southeasterly winds and potential long
236 distance transport (Šaulienė and Veriankaitė 2012). Long distance transport of *Ambrosia* pollen
237 has also been witnessed in Catalonia to the Southwest of the study area, although airborne pollen
238 records in the region could also be substantially influenced by local populations of *Ambrosia*
239 species that reportedly increased by 324% (Fernández-Llamazares et al. 2012). This might explain
240 the significant increase in the number of days when *Ambrosia* pollen has been recorded in the air
241 of northeastern Spain in this study. In Northern Italy, on the other hand, a significant decrease in
242 the number of days with airborne *Ambrosia* pollen was witnessed to the North of Milan

243 (Vertemate con Minoprio). This the region where the oligophagous leaf beetle *Ophraella*
244 *communa*, which is known to feed on *A. artemisiifolia*, has been sighted (Müller-Schärer et al.
245 2014) and potentially linked to decreases in atmospheric concentrations of *Ambrosia* pollen
246 reported at some sites (Bonini et al. 2015). Conversely, significant increases in the number of days
247 with airborne *Ambrosia* pollen were seen at sites situated in the Po Valley to the south (Modena)
248 and east (Padua), which might indicate further expansion of *Ambrosia* in these areas.

249 This study shows spatial and temporal variations in the magnitude of airborne *Ambrosia*
250 pollen concentrations and the number of days that the pollen is recorded in the air during the
251 principal flowering period of *Ambrosia* over a 10-year period (2004-2013) at sites across Europe.
252 The map of *Ambrosia* distribution in Europe is constantly changing with the inclusion of new data,
253 as seen with the addition of Georgia in this study. The number of significant trends in the
254 magnitude and frequency of atmospheric *Ambrosia* pollen is low (only 8% for the mean sum of
255 daily average *Ambrosia* pollen concentrations and 14% for the mean number of days *Ambrosia*
256 pollen was recorded in the air), and the direction of any changes varies locally. These trends
257 reflect variations in sources of the pollen. Significant decreases can be related to external factors
258 such as the introduction of control measures or herbivores that target the plant. Significant
259 increases, on the other hand, can relate to expansions in the size of the source or a shortening of
260 the distance from the source to the monitoring station, thereby increasing the magnitude and
261 frequency of atmospheric pollen concentrations. However, the influence of short term variations
262 in local weather conditions or long term effects climate change on the production, release and
263 dispersion of *Ambrosia* pollen cannot be discounted. This study highlights the importance of
264 pollen-monitoring networks, especially those that do not currently record *Ambrosia*, to commence
265 actively looking for the pollen of this invasive and noxious plant, even if it is not currently
266 considered to be an important aeroallergen in certain regions. This will provide an early warning of
267 its expansion to new areas.

268

269 **REFERENCES**

270

271 Bonini, M., Šikoparija, B., Prentović, M., Cislaghi, G., Colombo, P., Testoni, C., Grewling, L., Lommen,
272 S. T. E., Müller-Schärer, H. and Smith, M. (2015). Is the recent decrease in airborne
273 Ambrosia pollen in the Milan area due to the accidental introduction of the ragweed leaf
274 beetle *Ophraella communa*? *Aerobiologia* **31**(4), 499-513.

275 Bonini, M., Šikoparija, B., Prentović, M., Cislaghi, G., Colombo, P., Testoni, C., Grewling, Ł.,
276 Lommen, S. T. E., Müller-Schärer, H. and Smith, M. (2016). A follow-up study examining
277 airborne Ambrosia pollen in the Milan area in 2014 in relation to the accidental
278 introduction of the ragweed leaf beetle *Ophraella communa*. *Aerobiologia* **32**(2), 371–374.

279 Brunel, S., Branquart, E., Fried, G., van Valkenburg, J., Brundu, G., Starfinger, U., Buholzer, S.,
280 Uludag, A., Joseffson, M. and Baker, R. (2010). The EPPO prioritization process for invasive
281 alien plants. *Bulletin OEPP/EPPO* **40**, 407-422.

282 Burbach, G. J., Heinzerling, L. M., Edenharter, G., Bachert, C., Bindslev-Jensen, C., Bonini, S.,
283 Bousquet, J., Bousquet-Rouanet, L., Bousquet, P. J., Bresciani, M., Bruno, A., Canonica, G.
284 W., Darsow, U., Demoly, P., Durham, S., Fokkens, W. J., Giavi, S., Gjomarkaj, M.,
285 Gramiccioni, C., Haahtela, T., Kowalski, M. L., Magyar, P., Muraközi, G., Orosz, M.,
286 Papadopoulos, N. G., Röhnelt, C., Stingl, G., Todo-Bom, A., Von Mutius, E., Wiesner, A.,
287 Wöhrl, S. and Zuberbier, T. (2009a). GA2LEN skin test study II: clinical relevance of inhalant
288 allergen sensitizations in Europe. *Allergy* **64**(10), 1507-1515.

289 Burbach, G. J., Heinzerling, L. M., Röhnelt, C., Bergmann, K. C., Behrendt, H. and Zuberbier, T.
290 (2009b). Ragweed sensitization in Europe - GA(2)LEN study suggests increasing prevalence.
291 *Allergy* **64**(4), 664-665.

292 Buters, J. T. M., Alberternst, B., Nawrath, S., Wimmer, M., Traidl-Hoffmann, C., Starfinger, U.,
293 Behrendt, H., Schmidt-Weber, C. and Bergmann, K. C. (2015). *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*
294 (ragweed) in Germany. Current presence, allergologic relevance and containment
295 procedure. *Allergo J Int* **24**, 108–20.

296 Byfield, A. J. and Baytop, A. (1998). Three alien species new to the flora of Turkey. *Turkish Journal*
297 *of Botany* **22**(3), 205-208.

298 Chapman, D. S., Makra, L., Albertini, R., Bonini, M., Páldy, A., Rodinkova, V., Šikoparija, B.,
299 Weryszko-Chmielewska, E. and Bullock, J. M. (2016). Modelling the introduction and
300 spread of non-native species: International trade and climate change drive ragweed
301 invasion. *Global Change Biology* doi:10.1111/gcb.13220.

302 Comtois, P. (1998). Statistical Analysis of Aerobiological Data. In P. Mandrioli, P. Comtois and V.
303 Levizzani (eds.) *Methods in Aerobiology*. Bologna Pitagora Editrice.

304 Fernández-Llamazares, Á., Belmonte, J., Alarcón, M. and López-Pacheco, M. (2012). *Ambrosia* L. in
305 Catalonia (NE Spain): expansion and aerobiology of a new bioinvader. *Aerobiologia* **28**(4),
306 435-451.

307 Galán, C., Smith, M., Thibaudon, M., Frenguelli, G., Oteros, J., Gehrig, R., Berger, U., Clot, B. and
308 Brandao, R. (2014). Pollen monitoring: minimum requirements and reproducibility of
309 analysis. *Aerobiologia* **30**(4), 385-395.

310 Hamaoui-Laguel, L., Vautard, R., Liu, L., Solmon, F., Viovy, N., Khvorostyanov, D., Essl, F., Chuine,
311 I., Colette, A., Semenov, M. A., Schaffhauser, A., Storkey, J., Thibaudon, M. and Epstein, M.
312 M. (2015). Effects of climate change and seed dispersal on airborne ragweed pollen loads
313 in Europe. *Nature Clim. Change* **advance online publication**.

314 Hirst, J. M. (1952). An automatic volumetric spore trap. *The Annals of Applied Biology* **39**(2), 257-
315 265.

316 Karrer, G., Skjøth, C. A., Šikoparija, B., Smith, M., Berger, U. and Essl, F. (2015). Ragweed
317 (*Ambrosia*) pollen source inventory for Austria. *Science of The Total Environment* **523**(0),
318 120-128.

319 Kasprzyk, I., Myszkowska, D., Grewling, Ł., Stach, A., Šikoparija, B., Skjøth, C. A. and Smith, M.
320 (2011). The occurrence of *Ambrosia* pollen in Rzeszów, Kraków and Poznań, Poland:
321 investigation of trends and possible transport of *Ambrosia* pollen from Ukraine.
322 *International Journal of Biometeorology* **55**(4), 633-644.

323 Leiblein-Wild, M., Kaviani, R. and Tackenberg, O. (2014). Germination and seedling frost tolerance
324 differ between the native and invasive range in common ragweed. *Oecologia* **174**(3), 739-
325 750.

326 Müller-Schärer, H., Lommen, S. T. E., Rossinelli, M., Bonini, M., Boriani, M., Bosio, G. and Schaffner,
327 U. (2014). *Ophraella communa*, the ragweed leaf beetle, has successfully landed in Europe:
328 fortunate coincidence or threat? *Weed Research* **54**(2), 109-119.

329 Sauliene, I., Gudzinskas, Z., Veriankaite, L., Malciute, A. and Lesciauskiene, V. (2011). Distribution
330 of *Ambrosia* plants and airborne pollen in Lithuania. *Journal of Food Agriculture and*
331 *Environment* **9**, 547-550.

332 Šaulienė, I. and Veriankaitė, L. (2012). Analysis of high allergenicity airborne pollen dispersion:
333 common ragweed study case in Lithuania. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental*
334 *Medicine* **19**(3), 415-419.

335 Šikoparija, B., Skjøth, C. A., Alm Kübler, K., Dahl, A., Sommer, J., Grewling, Ł., Radišić, P. and Smith,
336 M. (2013). A mechanism for long distance transport of *Ambrosia* pollen from the
337 Pannonian Plain. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **180**(0), 112-117.

338 Šikoparija, B., Smith, M., Skjøth, C. A., Radišić, P., Milkovska, S., Simić, S. and Brandt, J. (2009). The
339 Pannonian Plain as a source of *Ambrosia* pollen in the Balkans. *International Journal of*
340 *Biometeorology* **53**(3), 263-272.

341 Skjøth, C. A., Šikoparija, B., Jäger, S. and EAN (2013). Pollen Sources. In M. Sofiev and K.-C.
342 Bergmann (eds.) Allergenic Pollen. Springer Netherlands. 9-27.

343 Skjøth, C. A., Smith, M., Sikoparija, B., Stach, A., Myszkowska, D., Kasprzyk, I., Radisic, P.,
344 Stjepanovic, B., Hrga, I., Apatini, D., Magyar, D., Páldy, A. and Ianovici, N. (2010). A method
345 for producing airborne pollen source inventories: An example of *Ambrosia* (ragweed) on
346 the Pannonian Plain. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **150**, 1203-1210.

347 Smith, M., Cecchi, L., Skjoth, C. A., Karrer, G. and Sikoparija, B. (2013). Common ragweed: A threat
348 to environmental health in Europe. *Environment International* **61**, 115-126.

349 Smith, M., Jäger, S., Berger, U., Šikoparija, B., Hallsdottir, M., Sauliene, I., Bergmann, K.-C., Pashley,
350 C. H., de Weger, L., Majkowska-Wojciechowska, B., Rybníček, O., Thibaudon, M., Gehrig, R.,
351 Bonini, M., Yankova, R., Damialis, A., Vokou, D., Gutiérrez Bustillo, A. M., Hoffmann-
352 Sommergruber, K. and van Ree, R. (2014). Geographic and temporal variations in pollen
353 exposure across Europe. *Allergy* **69**(7), 913–923.

354 Smith, M., Skjoth, C. A., Myszkowska, D., Uruska, A., Puc, M., Stach, A., Balwierz, Z., Chlopek, K.,
355 Piotrowska, K., Kasprzyk, I. and Brandt, J. (2008). Long-range transport of *Ambrosia* pollen
356 to Poland. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **148**(10), 1402-1411.

357 Sommer, J., Smith, M., Šikoparija, B., Kasprzyk, I., Myszkowska, D., Grewling, Ł. and Skjøth, C. A.
358 (2015). The risk of exposure to airborne *Ambrosia* pollen from local and distant sources in
359 Europe – An example from Denmark. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine*
360 **22**(4), 625-31.

361 Stach, A., Smith, M., Skjoth, C. A. and Brandt, J. (2007). Examining *Ambrosia* pollen episodes at
362 Poznan (Poland) using back-trajectory analysis. *International Journal of Biometeorology*
363 **51**(4), 275-286.

364 Thackeray, S. J., Sparks, T. H., Frederiksen, M., Burthe, S., Bacon, P. J., Bell, J., Carvalho, L., Clutton-
365 Brock, T. H., Edwards, M., Elliott, J. M., Harrington, R., Johns, D., Jones, I. D., Jones, J., Leech,

366 D., Pomeroy, P., Roy, D., Scott, A., Smith, M., Smithers, R. J., Winfield, I. J. and Wanless, S.
367 (2010). Trophic level asynchrony in rates of phenological change for marine, freshwater
368 and terrestrial environments. *Global Change Biology* **16**, 3304-3313.

369 Thalmann, D., Kikodze, D., Khutsishvili, M., Kharazishvili, D., Guisan, A., Broennimann, O. and
370 Müller-Schärer, H. (2015). Areas of high conservation value in Georgia: present and future
371 threats by invasive alien plants. *Biological Invasions* **17**(4), 1041-1054.

372 Thibaudon, M., Šikoparija, B., Oliver, G., Smith, M. and Skjøth, C. A. (2014). Ragweed pollen source
373 inventory for France – The second largest centre of *Ambrosia* in Europe. *Atmospheric*
374 *Environment* **83**(0), 62-71.

375 Zemmer, F., Karaca, F. and Ozkaragoz, F. (2012). Ragweed pollen observed in Turkey: Detection of
376 sources using back trajectory models. *Science of The Total Environment* **430**(0), 101-108.

377 Ziello, C., Sparks, T. H., Estrella, N., Belmonte, J., Bergmann, K. C., Bucher, E., Brighetti, M. A.,
378 Damialis, A., Detandt, M., Galán, C., Gehrig, R., Grewling, L., Bustillo, A. M., Hallsdóttir, M.,
379 Kockhans-Bieda, M., Linares, C., Myszkowska, D., Pàldy, A., Sánchez, A., Smith, M.,
380 Thibaudon, M., Travaglini, A., Uruska, A., Valencia-Barrera, R. M., Vokou, D., Wachter, R.,
381 Weger, L. A. and Menzel, A. (2012). Changes to airborne pollen counts across europe. *PLoS*
382 *ONE* **7**(4), e34076. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034076.

383

384 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

385 Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

386 Appendix S1 Mean, Standard Deviation and Linear trends calculated for sites included in the study.

387

388 **BIOSKETCH**

389 European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST) Action FA 1203 for the sustainable
390 management of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* in Europe (SMARTER) brings together health care

391 professionals, aerobiologists, economists, and atmospheric and agricultural modellers and
392 provides an inter-disciplinary forum for discussing long-term management and monitoring options
393 for this noxious invasive plant. http://www.cost.eu/COST_Actions/fa/FA1203
394

395 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

396
397 M.S, C.A.S. and B. Sikoparija designed the study, analysed and collated results and prepared the
398 manuscript. Raw data analysis and editing of the draft manuscript was performed by B.Sikoparija,
399 C.A.S., S.C., C.T., T.A., K.A.K., J.B., M.B., A.C., A. Damialis., B.C., A.Dahl., L.A.dW., J.D., R.G., M.H.,
400 L.H., N.I., A.K.S., D. Magyar., G.M., S.M., D. Myszkowska., A.P., C.H.P., K.R., O.Ritenberga., V.R., O.
401 Rybníček., V.S., I.S., J.S., B. Stjepanovic., M.T., C.V., D.V., R.Y. and M.S
402

403 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

404 We acknowledge support from EU COST Action FA1203 'Sustainable management of *Ambrosia*
405 *artemisiifolia* in Europe (SMARTER)' (<http://ragweed.eu>). The authors acknowledge the A.I.A.
406 (Italian Association of Aerobiology) and the Italian ARPAs (Regional Environmental Protection
407 Agencies) for providing Italian pollen data. This work was partly financed by the following COST
408 Short Term Scientific Missions: COST-STSM-FA1203-020215-053027 to CT, COST-STSM-FA1203-
409 20573, ECOST-STSM-FA1203-250415-058150. B. Sikoparija is supported by Ministry of Science
410 Republic of Serbia project no. III43002, C. A. Skjøth is supported by European Commission through
411 a Marie Curie Career Integration Grant (Project ID CIG631745) and Danish Research Council,
412 Acronym SUPREME). C. H. Pashley is supported by the Midlands Asthma and Allergy Research
413 Association (MAARA) and the National Institute for Health Research Leicester Respiratory
414 Biomedical Research Unit and the views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily
415 those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. Sevcan Celenk is supported by Research

416 Foundation of Uludag University project no 2011/76 and The Scientific and Technological Research
417 Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) project no 109S032. The authors would also like to thank the
418 following for their expert technical assistance: Iveta Lankova, The National Institute of Public
419 Health, Praha, Czech Republic; J. Corden and W. Millington, Midlands Asthma and Allergy Research
420 Association (MAARA), UK; A. Fairs, Department of Infection, Immunity & Inflammation, University
421 of Leicester, UK; D. Gioulekas, Pulmonary Department, G.P. Hospital "G. Papanikolaou", Aristotle
422 University of Thessaloniki, Greece; Predrag Radišić, University of Novi Sad, Serbia.