

Supplementary Information for

Higher airborne pollen concentrations correlated with increased SARS-CoV-2 infection rates, as evidenced from 31 countries across the globe

Athanasios Damialis^{1*+}, Stefanie Gilles¹⁺, Mikhail Sofiev², Viktoria Sofieva², Franziska Kolek¹, Daniela Bayr¹, Maria P. Plaza¹, Vivien Leier-Wirtz¹, Sigrid Kaschuba¹, Lewis H. Ziska³, Leonard Bielory^{4,5,6}, László Makra⁷, Manuel Mar Trigo⁸, COVID-19/POLLEN study group[#], Claudia Traidl- H offmann¹

* Athanasios Damialis Email: thanos.damialis@tum.de

+ : Equally contributed

: The list of co-authors (and their full affiliations) is attached to the manuscript as a separate file. Their details, nonetheless, have been included in the submission platform. The full author list (154 in total) will be integrated, hopefully, after the acceptance of the manuscript.

This PDF file includes:

Figures S1 to S3 Supplementary text for Figures S1 to S3 Table S1 References

Fig. S1. Switzerland as case study to illustrate the magnitude of the pollen effect. Number of COVID-19 cases, infection rates (7-day moving average) and airborne pollen concentrations (pollen m-3) are shown as a function of date for three Swiss cantons.

Supplementary Information Text for Fig. S1

The example of Switzerland illustrates the relative importance of the effects of pollen, population density and lockdown. Switzerland was one of the countries with the highest pollen concentrations for several days during the exponential phase of the pandemic, which made it possible to compare three cities in Switzerland located close to each other and having comparable climate and population densities, but different pollen concentrations.

The pollen spike on 12 March in Zurich was 5 times that of Geneva and 8 times higher than in Lausanne. As a result, the overall tendency of reduction of the infection rate from 11 March was broken in Zurich (ovals mark the spike and its effect) – and the anomaly faded out only a week later. Of note, the pollen spikes that occurred during the lockdown phase exhibited a by far less pronounced effect.

Figure S2. Donut charts of the biodiversity of all monitored airborne pollen per region, in 70 regions across the Northern Hemisphere. Focus was given on allergenic pollen primarily (color depiction), while the rest were denoted as 'Others' (black color depiction). The numbers in the middle of each chart indicate the total number of all pollen grains recorded during the study period for each region. The Southern Hemisphere has been excluded here, as the daily average pollen concentration was only 17 pollen grains per cubic meter of air, the majority belonging to grass pollen (in contrast to a daily average of more than 200 pollen in the Northern Hemisphere).

Supplementary Information Text for Fig. S2

To assess the biodiversity of the pollen time series we analysed, we show here 70 donut charts (for the Northern Hemisphere), representing the percentages of each pollen taxon as the relative contribution to the overall pollen abundance. We found that the total pollen amount actually refers to mainly allergenic pollen for the study period. The majority of the taxa included in this study refer to allergenic ones, like *Alnus*, *Betula*, *Corylus*, Cupressaceae, and *Fraxinus*. To define which pollen types are 'allergenic', we followed published results per pollen taxon, e.g. as in (1) and references therein.

The allergenic taxa are denoted with color, whereas all the rest with black. The black parts are overruled by the color ones and the black pieces in the donut charts may represent a large number of taxa, like *Acer*, Myrtaceae, *Plantago*, *Salix*, but also late spring-summer emerging pollen (not yet present in abundance by the time of the study implementation), like *Ambrosia*, *Artemisia*, Chenopodiaceae, *Olea*, *Plantago*, *Rumex*, Poaceae. Even though the biodiversity is not consistent among regions and countries, with a variable ranking order of pollen taxa, the take-home message is that the non-allergenic or the not-present-yet taxa ('Others', denoted as the black parts of the donuts) could be numerous but not abundant at all, yet.

Figure S3. Scatterplot of the distribution of the total confirmed COVID-19 cases in relation to pollen totals. To analyze, in parallel, the infection cases in association with pollen abundances, we differentiated the 1st quartile of pollen data (low concentrations, as in the Southern Hemisphere), which accounted for a threshold 1980 pollen.

Supplementary Information Text for Fig. S3

To evaluate whether the total amount of pollen per region is the most representative and appropriate for our research question, we assessed the whole diversity of airborne pollen taxa for each and every site out of 248 originally acquired and for all the regions and all 31 countries included in the analysis. It is clearly demonstrated that the effect of our originally calculated totals of pollen are almost identical to the newly calculated allergenic pollen by 90.7%. Regarding a potential change in the originally calculated pollen signal in the whole analysis, if we apply a fitting line, the difference of the coefficient of determination is only of the magnitude of 0.03 (significant and positive in both scenarios). The above similarity is simply due to the fact that our study period refers almost exclusively (for the Northern Hemisphere) to airborne pollen from winter-spring trees and shrubs.

Table S1. Overview of data sources for pollen and COVID-19 cases. Data from a total of 130 single aerobiological measurement stations included in the analysis. When more than 2 sites were equally eligible, we picked the site(s) with the highest population.

References for Supplementary Information

1. A. Damialis *et al.*, Climate Change and Pollen Allergies. In: M. Marselle, *et al.* (eds). *Biodiversity and Health in the Face of Climate Change*. Springer, Cham, pp. 47-66 (2019).